

## EDUCATION WEEK

TECHNOLOGY COUNTS  
A Digital Decade '07

Sponsored Online:



Published: March 29, 2007

## How *Education Week* Graded the States

For the Technology Leaders section of *Technology Counts* [← Back to Story](#)

2007, the [Editorial Projects in Education Research Center](#) collected data on 14 indicators in three major areas of state technology policy and practice: **access**, **use**, and **capacity**. Data on access to technology were derived from annual surveys conducted by Market Data Retrieval and from background questionnaires reported as part of the 2005 National Assessment of Educational Progress. Information on technology use and capacity was obtained from a survey of state technology officials conducted by the EPE Research Center in the winter of 2007.

State information in each of these three categories was evaluated in order to assign an overall state grade for technology leadership. To arrive at the state grade, we first assigned points to each column of data or information using the criteria described below.

### STATE ANALYSIS

#### Tracking U.S. Trends

- About the EPE Research Center's Annual State Technology Survey
- [How \*Education Week\* Graded the States](#)
- Sources and Notes

#### Features Stories

#### Executive Summary

Point subtotals were generated for each of the three technology leadership areas—access, use, and capacity. The state's final score was calculated by taking the average of the scores for the three individual categories. Overall letter grades were assigned based on the following scale: 93 to 100 percent = A; 90 to 92 percent = A-minus; 87 to 89 percent = B-plus; 83 to 86 percent = B; 80 to 82 percent = B-minus; 77 to 79 percent = C-plus; 73 to 76 percent = C; 70 to 72 percent = C-minus; 67 to 69 percent = D-plus; 63 to 66 percent = D; 60 to 62 percent = D-minus; below 60 percent = F. Because of changes in two measures of technology access as well as in the way the EPE Research Center evaluated particular state policies, grades for 2007 are not comparable to the prior year. Here, in greater detail, is how we graded the states in the Technology Leaders category:

### Technology Leaders

**Weighting:** Access to technology, use of technology, and capacity to use technology each account for one-third of the overall state grade.

**Access to Technology:** To calculate the access-to-technology subscore, the states were first ranked on each of four indicators. The specific indicators are as follows: percent of students with

computer in classroom; percent of students with computer in lab/media center; students per instructional computer; and students per high-speed Internet-connected computer. The states were then divided into approximate quintiles. The top 10 states received an A for the column, the next 10 states received a B, the next 11 received a C, the next 10 a D, and the bottom 10 states an F. An A was awarded 100 points; a B, 85 points; a C, 75 points; a D, 65 points; and an F, 59 points. The scores for the four indicators were averaged and account for one-third of the overall grade.

**Use of Technology:** The use-of-technology subscore is based on four individual state-policy indicators. The specific policy measures are as follows: state standards for students include technology; state tests students on technology; state has established a virtual school; and state offers computer-based student assessments. States with a particular policy in place are indicated by a check mark in the table and received an A (100 points). Otherwise, the state received an F (59 points). The four resulting scores were averaged and represent one-third of the overall grade.

**Capacity to Use Technology:** The capacity-to-use-technology subscore is based on six individual state-policy indicators. The specific policy measures are as follows: state standards include technology for teachers; state standards include technology for administrators; state requires technology coursework or a test for initial teacher licensure; state requires technology coursework or a test for initial administrator licensure; state requires technology training or a technology test for recertification, or requires participation in technology-related professional development for teachers; and state requires technology training or a technology test for recertification, or requires participation in technology-related professional development for administrators. States with a particular policy in place are indicated by a check mark in the table and received an A (100 points). Otherwise, the state received an F (59 points). The six resulting scores were averaged and represent one-third of the overall grade.